THE JOURNAL OF
ARTS AND CULTRAL MANAGEMENT
2026 Vol. 1, No.1

Immersive Experience as Cultural Participation: Encounter, Mediation and Embodied Engagement

Duoduo Mou

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor, Malaysia
Corresponding Author*: Duoduo Mou, Email: mouduoduo@graduate.utm.my

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords
immersive experience ; cultural participation; embodied engagement; experiential mediation ; cultural space; curated environments; hybrid media environments

ABSTRACT

Immersive experience has become an important modality of cultural participation in contemporary cultural environments. This paper reconceptualizes immersion not as a purely technical or sensory effect but as an experiential form shaped by encounter, mediation and embodied engagement. Immersive cultural spaces invite participants to negotiate attention, orientation and interpretation, transforming spectators into active subjects. Drawing on media theory, cultural analysis and experiential studies, the paper develops a conceptual framework to explain how immersive environments function as communicative and cultural systems that reorganize perception and co-produce meaning. By situating immersion within experiential and cultural contexts, the discussion highlights the role of embodied participation and socio-technical mediation in shaping contemporary cultural experience. This analysis contributes to current debates in cultural studies, media studies and cultural participation research by providing theoretical grounding for understanding how immersive cultural environments structure participation, attention and experiential sense-making.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Immersion has gained renewed attention in contemporary media and communication scholarship as media environments have expanded beyond screen-based platforms into hybrid, interactive, and experiential spaces. While immersive experience has historically been associated with virtual simulation, digital gaming, and audiovisual fidelity, recent discussions have

Citation:

emphasized that the capacity of media environments to generate immersion rests not only on technological infrastructures but also on communicative, cultural, and experiential dynamics. Immersion increasingly denotes a modality of engagement that reorganizes perception, frames attention, and structures participation within mediated environments[1]. This shift reflects broader transformations in how media environments articulate narrative, sensory, and spatial cues, and how subjects engage as co-producers of meaning within communicative settings. In this context, immersion emerges as a phenomenon that links bodily experience, narrative mediation, and socio-technical assemblages, thereby becoming central to discussions of media practice and contemporary communicative conditions.

1.2 Problem Space

Despite these developments, existing models of immersion retain significant conceptual limitations. Many accounts continue to define immersion in narrow terms, reducing it to technological performance, perceptual fidelity, or sensory stimulation. Such perspectives treat immersion primarily as an effect delivered to passive spectators, thus obscuring the participatory, relational, and experiential dynamics through which immersive conditions are enacted[2]. Other frameworks prioritize virtuality and simulation, thereby overlooking how immersive experiences arise within physical or hybrid environments where narrative coordination, embodied attention, and spatial orientation contribute to engagement. These perspectives insufficiently address the communicative dimensions of immersion and fail to explain how immersive conditions reorganize meaning-making, perception, and interpersonal encounter. A more comprehensive conceptualization is needed to account for immersion as a modality of participation and mediated experience rather than a technical function or representational illusion.

1.3 Contribution

This paper repositions immersion as a participatory form of communication that emerges through encounter, mediation, and experiential sense-making within contemporary media environments. By emphasizing participation rather than simulation, the discussion foregrounds the role of embodied attention, relational orientation, and socio-technical infrastructures in shaping immersive experience. The paper develops a conceptual framework capable of explaining how immersion organizes communicative conditions that enable subjects to negotiate meaning, co-produce experience, and constitute their position within mediated environments. This reframing expands the analytical scope of immersion beyond virtuality and beyond technological determinism, offering a theoretical account that integrates insights from media studies, communication theory, cultural analysis, and experiential research[3]. In doing so, the paper contributes to ongoing scholarly efforts to understand how immersive environments function as communicative systems that structure engagement, attention, and participation.

2. Immersion Beyond Technology

2.1 Technological Reductionism

Traditional accounts often frame immersion as a function of technological power, display fidelity, multisensory simulation, or computational performance. Within such models, immersion is treated as a technical achievement that arises from increased resolution, improved latency, stereoscopic depth cues, and the capacity of audiovisual systems to minimize perceptual

discontinuities[4]. These frameworks tend to position users as passive recipients of sensory data who are enveloped by high-fidelity environments that suspend disbelief and create an illusion of presence. While influential in virtual reality research and computational media design, technological reductionism offers an incomplete account of immersive experience. It neglects the interpretive, relational, and embodied dimensions through which subjects make sense of their surroundings[5]. Moreover, it assumes that immersion scales linearly with technological improvement, thereby reinforcing deterministic assumptions and obscuring social, cultural, and communicative processes that participate in the construction of immersive environments. As a result, technological explanations insufficiently capture how immersion becomes meaningful, structured, and enacted through communicative dynamics rather than purely representational illusion.

2.2 Cultural and Communicative Immersion

Beyond technical simulation, immersion emerges within cultural and communicative practices that organize participation and experiential sense-making. Immersive experience may arise in environments that deploy symbolic, narrative, or ritual elements without relying on virtual systems, screens, or head-mounted displays. Theatre performances, religious ceremonies, interactive installations, and live exhibitions can all generate immersive conditions through staging, spatial choreography, collective attention, and shared cultural interpretation[6]. These environments invite subjects to take up positions, adopt orientations, and enact roles that construct immersion as an active form of participation rather than a passive perceptual state. Such articulations foreground the importance of cultural repertoires, narrative conventions, and interpretive frameworks in mediating immersive experience. Immersion thus becomes a communicative process through which meaning is distributed, negotiated, and co-produced. This perspective widens the analytical field from technological rendering to socio-cultural mediation, thereby repositioning immersion as a modality of communicative engagement embedded within wider systems of practice, symbolism, and experiential organization.

2.3 Narrative and Spatial Engagement

Immersive environments frequently rely on narrative framing, sensory cues, and spatial orientation to structure engagement and guide experiential participation. Narrative elements provide coherence and temporal continuity, inviting subjects to identify, anticipate, or interpret unfolding events or symbolic meanings. Spatial configurations, including pathways, thresholds, vantage points, and zones of visibility, choreograph attention and movement, shaping how individuals encounter objects, others, and mediated content[7]. Sensory cues, including sound, lighting, material textures, and environmental rhythms, contribute to experiential attunement and deepen affective engagement. These elements operate collectively to establish immersive conditions that embed participants within a mediated world, thereby transforming perception and experience through coordinated narrative and spatial techniques. Unlike purely technological models, this view emphasizes immersion as a situated practice that integrates sensory perception, narrative orientation, and spatial relationality. Such environments do not merely display information; they solicit participation and produce experiential coherence, further supporting the proposition that immersion functions as a communicative modality grounded in encounter and mediation.

3. Encounter and Participatory Sense-Making

3.1 Encounter as Relation

Encounter foregrounds relational dynamics between subjects, objects, and infrastructures, positioning immersion as a condition that unfolds through situated interactions rather than as an effect delivered by media systems[8]. In immersive environments, encounter constitutes a moment of contact in which attention, perception, and orientation are organized around the exchange between participants and mediated worlds. Such relational conditions are supported by socio-technical infrastructures that choreograph access, visibility, and movement, enabling subjects to enter into experiential relations that exceed passive observation. Encounter therefore becomes an event through which subjects negotiate their position in relation to mediated spaces, symbolic artifacts, and other participants. This relational view shifts the analytical focus from internal cognitive states to the distributed material, social, and communicative arrangements that organize immersive participation[9]. By framing immersion through encounter, the discussion emphasizes the interdependence of relational positioning, sensory attunement, and infrastructural mediation in producing immersive conditions.

3.2 Embodied Practices of Participation

Participatory immersion is not solely conceptual or interpretive; it is enacted through embodied gestures, orientations, and perceptual negotiations that unfold within immersive environments. Participants lean forward, pause, compare, navigate, and adjust their bodies in relation to mediated cues, spatial layouts, and narrative prompts. These micro-practices reveal immersion as a situated activity in which subjects actively organize attention and engage with mediated content through tactile, sensory, and spatial modalities. Embodied participation complicates traditional distinctions between spectatorship and action by demonstrating how immersive conditions mobilize the body as an instrument of perception and meaning-making[10]. Rather than consuming mediated content from a distance, subjects inhabit immersive settings and perform interpretive labor that contributes to the coherence and continuity of the experience. These practices support the argument that immersion functions as a participatory modality through which subjects become implicated in the communicative processes that structure mediated environments.

3.3 Sense-Making as Experience

Meaning within immersive environments emerges through distributed and experiential participation rather than through static representation or symbolic transmission. Sense-making unfolds as a temporal and relational process in which subjects integrate sensory impressions, narrative cues, spatial relations, and cultural expectations into coherent experiential wholes. This form of sense-making does not merely interpret content; it actively constitutes the immersive event by aligning perception, affect, and orientation within mediated environments. Such experiential meaning-making is neither entirely subjective nor entirely determined by media structures. Instead, it arises through negotiated engagements between participants and the mediated world they inhabit[11]. Recognizing immersion as a mode of sense-making situates immersive participation within broader communication processes that organize attention, perception, and narrative orientation. It highlights how immersion facilitates the co-production of meaning through distributed interactions that integrate material, symbolic, and experiential dimensions.

4. Mediation as Experiential Condition

4.1 Mediation Beyond Representation

Mediation shapes attention, organizes narrative, and conditions the communicative settings through which immersion becomes meaningful. Conventional understandings of mediation frequently describe it as a representational conduit through which information or symbolic content is transmitted from producer to spectator[12]. Such models assume a separation between medium and experience, emphasizing the transport of meaning over its enactment. Immersive environments complicate this assumption by revealing mediation as constitutive of experience rather than merely representational. In these settings, mediation arranges sensory cues, narrative rhythms, spatial orientations, and symbolic affordances that structure how immersive encounters unfold. It organizes the temporalities, affective registers, and perceptual focal points through which subjects enter into relation with mediated worlds. Rather than functioning as a neutral interface or transparent carrier, mediation becomes an experiential condition that co-produces attention, orientation, and engagement[13]. Understood in this way, mediation participates in the constitution of immersive experience by enabling interpretive and experiential processes that exceed direct representation or information transfer.

4.2 Distributed Meaning

Immersive experience involves distributed meaning-making processes that extend across socio-technical systems, spatial configurations, and symbolic cues. Meaning does not reside solely within content or narrative structures, nor is it wholly produced within individual cognition. Instead, meaning emerges through negotiated interactions among participants, environments, and mediating infrastructures[14]. These distributed processes involve the coordination of sensory impressions, narrative expectations, embodied practices, and cultural repertoires. Socio-technical environments contribute to this distribution by orchestrating visibility, pacing, and interaction, while symbolic cues and narrative framings contextualize interpretation and guide experiential coherence. Spatial arrangements, material affordances, and sensory modulations further support the production of immersion by aligning perceptual and interpretive processes[15]. Through this distribution, mediation becomes an active agent in shaping immersive participation rather than a passive vehicle for transmitting predefined content. Immersive environments therefore reveal mediation as a condition of experience, in which meaning is collectively organized, temporally negotiated, and materially enacted.

5. Embodied Attention and Experiential Practice

5.1 Attention as Action

Attention within immersive environments becomes an active, negotiated, and embodied practice rather than a passive cognitive function. Participants allocate attention through bodily movement, perceptual orientation, and environmental scanning, responding to mediated cues that solicit engagement and structure experiential flow[16]. This conception contrasts with traditional media consumption paradigms in which attention is measured primarily as a discrete psychological state or as a metric of visual fixation. Immersive settings reveal attention as a distributed phenomenon in which individuals selectively attune to narrative or sensory elements while navigating a mediated environment that unfolds in real time. Attention becomes an instrument of

participation through which subjects establish relevance, prioritize stimuli, and construct interpretive trajectories. By treating attention as action, immersive environments foreground the role of perception, orientation, and decision making in the co-production of experience. This framing situates attention within broader communicative processes that organize how meaning is constituted and negotiated in participatory media contexts.

5.2 Sensory and Spatial Attunement

Immersive environments mobilize sensory attunement and spatial orientation to facilitate situated engagement and experiential coherence. Sensory cues such as sound, texture, light, and rhythm invite participants to align their perception with environmental affordances, while spatial configurations guide navigation, proximity, and movement. These dynamics encourage individuals to inhabit mediated spaces through embodied practices that integrate sensory awareness with interpretive and affective processes[17]. Spatial attunement further supports participation by positioning subjects within networks of visibility, relational vantage points, and mediated pathways that shape how encounters unfold. This interplay between sensory and spatial modalities demonstrates that immersion is not solely a perceptual phenomenon but a situated experience grounded in bodily and environmental coordination. The integration of sensory and spatial attunement contributes to the construction of immersive meaning by enabling participants to orient themselves within mediated worlds and to engage with symbolic and narrative cues in an embodied manner.

6. Socio-Technical Media Environments

6.1 Hybrid Media Systems

Contemporary media environments combine physical, digital, and narrative elements in ways that blur longstanding distinctions between online and offline, representation and enactment, and spectatorship and participation. Hybrid media systems integrate objects, spaces, interfaces, infrastructures, and symbolic cues into experiential environments that invite participants to actively engage and negotiate meaning. These systems are not reducible to any single medium or technological apparatus. Instead, they operate as assemblages that incorporate spatial configurations, sensory affordances, narrative structures, and technical platforms into unified immersive fields. Hybrid media environments challenge models of communication that rely on linear sender–receiver metaphors or discrete channels of information transfer. They reveal communication as a multi-layered and distributed process in which immersion emerges through the coordination of material, symbolic, and experiential components. This view situates immersion within broader transformations in media ecologies, highlighting how hybrid systems support new forms of engagement and meaning-making[18].

6.2 Co-Production of Meaning

Within immersive media environments, subjects are positioned as co-producers of meaning rather than as passive recipients of content. Co-production entails interpretive labor, affective investment, and embodied participation through which subjects contribute to the experiential coherence of mediated environments. Rather than consuming predefined information or narrative sequences, participants integrate cultural repertoires, personal expectations, sensory impressions, and environmental cues to generate meaning. This co-production extends beyond individual

cognition and incorporates the distributed resources provided by socio-technical infrastructures, spatial arrangements, and collective practices. Co-production reframes communication as an emergent and negotiated activity that depends on the interplay between participants and mediated environments[19]. Immersion becomes a condition in which communicative processes unfold through participation, collaboration, and experiential alignment. Such dynamics challenge traditional media models that separate production from reception and emphasize instead the constitutive role of participation in the formation of immersive experience.

6.3 Infrastructures of Participation

Infrastructures of participation choreograph engagement and enable immersive communication by regulating visibility, pacing, access, and interaction. These infrastructures include spatial layouts, sensory architectures, technical platforms, narrative interfaces, and social protocols that coordinate how participants enter into relation with mediated environments. Infrastructures do not merely support immersion as a technical substrate. They actively shape experiential conditions by guiding attention, structuring movement, and organizing interpretive pathways. Through these infrastructural arrangements, immersive environments establish the rhythms, constraints, and affordances that allow participation to unfold as a communicative practice[20]. Infrastructures thereby contribute to the constitution of immersive experience by aligning material conditions with the narrative, symbolic, and sensory elements that animate engagement. Recognizing the infrastructural dimension of immersion highlights the importance of media ecologies and socio-technical systems in shaping how meaning and experience are co-produced within contemporary communicative environments.

7. Conceptual Framework and Implications

7.1 Framework Synthesis

Immersion, encounter, mediation, and participation form an integrated account of immersive communication within contemporary media environments. Immersion establishes the experiential modality through which attention, perception, and orientation are organized. Encounter highlights relational dynamics among subjects, objects, and infrastructures that structure engagement. Mediation foregrounds the distributed processes through which meaning is configured and enacted, shifting emphasis from representational transmission to experiential conditions. Participation designates the embodied, interpretive, and affective practices through which subjects engage and co-produce meaning within socio-technical environments. Synthesizing these elements provides a conceptual framework that explains immersion as a communicative condition grounded in relational and experiential processes rather than in technological fidelity alone. The framework demonstrates that immersive experience emerges through coordinated practices of sense-making enabled by socio-technical infrastructures, spatial and sensory arrangements, and cultural repertoires that invite subjects into participatory engagement.

7.2 Implications for Media Theory

Repositioning immersion as participatory communication expands the scope of communication scholarship by introducing a perspective that foregrounds embodiment, experience, and relationality within mediated environments. This reframing challenges models of communication that privilege symbolic transmission or representational fidelity and instead

emphasizes how meaning is co-produced through distributed interactions across multiple sensory, narrative, and spatial registers. Immersion becomes relevant not only to studies of virtual or computational media but also to examinations of live, hybrid, and materially grounded communicative settings. Media theory can therefore account for immersion as a feature of contemporary communicative ecologies in which subjects participate in the organization of attention, experiential coherence, and interpretive alignment. This orientation contributes to emerging discussions on affect, embodiment, and socio-technical mediation, offering a conceptual vocabulary for analyzing how immersive environments function as communicative systems that shape perception, orientation, and sense-making.

7.3 Implications for Interaction Design

Understanding immersion as an experiential and participatory condition introduces new opportunities for interaction design. Rather than focusing exclusively on technical fidelity or virtual simulation, designers may attend to narrative structuring, sensory cues, spatial pathways, and infrastructural arrangements that support embodied engagement and distributed sense-making. Immersive conditions become designable through techniques that choreograph attention, guide movement, and frame relational encounters within mediated environments. Such an approach aligns interaction design with communicative processes by recognizing that participation, interpretation, and meaning-making are integral to immersive experience. This perspective suggests that effective immersive environments need not rely on intensive computation or high-resolution display technologies. They may instead emerge through the cultivation of experiential conditions that encourage alignment between participants and socio-technical settings. For interaction design, this conceptual shift expands the repertoire of immersive techniques and provides theoretical grounding for practices that integrate sensory, spatial, and narrative dimensions of experience.

8. Conclusion

Immersion should not be viewed solely as a technological effect or sensory illusion. Instead, it emerges as a communicative condition grounded in encounter, mediation, and experiential participation. By reframing immersion in this manner, the discussion challenges reductionist perspectives that prioritize simulation, fidelity, or perceptual accuracy and introduces a broader conceptualization that foregrounds embodied attention, relational engagement, and distributed sense-making. Immersive environments invite subjects to become active participants who negotiate meaning through sensory, spatial, and narrative arrangements supported by socio-technical infrastructures. This understanding situates immersion within wider transformations in contemporary media ecologies, in which communication unfolds through participatory and experiential modalities rather than through unidirectional transmission.

The conceptual framework developed in this paper demonstrates that immersion integrates experiential, relational, and communicative processes, thereby expanding the analytical reach of media and communication scholarship. It highlights that immersive experience is co-produced through cultural repertoires, infrastructural arrangements, and embodied practices that orchestrate attention and guide interpretive trajectories. Such a perspective opens space for examining how immersive conditions shape perception, organize engagement, and structure participation across diverse media environments, both physical and hybrid. By recognizing immersion as participatory communication rather than as a technical function, the paper provides theoretical grounding for

future inquiry into the experiential dimensions of media and supports continued investigation into how mediated environments cultivate meaning, orientation, and involvement.

References

[1] P. Merleau-Ponty, Phenomenology of Perception, Routledge, 1962.

[2] J. Dewey, Art as Experience, New York: Minton, Balch and Company, 1934.

[3] B. Latour, Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory, Oxford University Press, 2005.

[4] W. J. T. Mitchell, Picture Theory, University of Chicago Press, 1994.

[5] S. Hall, "Encoding/decoding," in Culture, Media, Language, Routledge, 1980, pp. 128–138.

[6] N. Couldry, Media, Society, World: Social Theory and Digital Media Practice, Polity Press, 2012.

[7] M. Deuze, Media Life, Polity Press, 2012.

[8] R. Scannell, Television and the Meaning of 'Live', Polity Press, 2014.

[9] T. Ingold, The Perception of the Environment: Essays in Livelihood, Dwelling and Skill, Routledge, 2000.

[10] E. Goffman, Relations in Public, Basic Books, 1971.

[11] E. A. Goffman, Frame Analysis, Harvard University Press, 1974.

[12] L. Manovich, The Language of New Media, MIT Press, 2001.

[13] M. Lury, Globalizing Culture, Polity Press, 1997.

[14] J. McCarthy and P. Wright, Technology as Experience, MIT Press, 2004.

[15] R. Azuma, "A survey of augmented reality," Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 355–385, 1997.

[16] M. Billinghurst, A. Clark, and G. Lee, "A survey of augmented reality," Foundations and Trends in Human–Computer Interaction, vol. 8, no. 2–3, pp. 73–272, 2015.

[17] S. Feiner, B. MacIntyre, and D. Seligmann, "Knowledge-based augmented reality," Communications of the ACM, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 53–62, 1993.

[18] A. Dünser, R. Grasset, and M. Billinghurst, "A survey of evaluation techniques used in augmented reality studies," in ACM SIGGRAPH Asia, 2008, pp. 1–27.

[19] J. Bolter and R. Grusin, Remediation: Understanding New Media, MIT Press, 1999.

[20] H. Jenkins, Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide, New York University Press, 2006.